#### **Racial Justice and Business Schools**

January 22, 2021, 11:00am- 12:30pm EST

Racial Justice at the intersection of Business and Society
Critical Management Studies CMS





Penelope Muzanenhamo PhD
Assistant Professor in Business in Africa
UCD College of Business

Email: penelope.muzanenhamo@ucd.ie

## Epistemic injustice

(Fricker 2010)

### 1992

#### I was here...



#### ... while an African American female Professor based here at the time



ce: https://images.app.g

...was challenging how powerful actors "amassed a great deal of knowledge about one group [white males]" and generalized their theories across all groups (Nkomo, 1992)

## **Self-discovery**

Realizing that I am black and an African, and the experiences of people who look like me do not seem to matter to Business Schools

My/our scholarly voice is not legitimate

Black scholars across domains, academic institutions, geographical contexts and social backgrounds are marginalized as knowledge producers and disseminators: (e.g. Banks, 1984; Bell and Nkomo, 1999; Benschop and Brouns, 2003; Blake-Beard et al., 2008; Chisadza et al., 2019; Christian, 2017; Collins, 1999; Cox, 2004; Crichlow, 2017; Dar, 2019; Dei, 2014; Dei, 2018; Harding, 1974; Harlow, 2003; Hawthorne and Heitz, 2018; Hendrix, 2002; Morris, 2015; Mukandi and Bond, 2019; Nkomo, 2016; Proudford, 1999; Proudford and Thomas, 1999; Reddick, 2018; Settles et al., 2019; Shockley and Holloway, 2019; Stanley, 2006; Stewart et al., 2008; Thomas et al., 1999; Warmington, 2014; Wilder, 2013; Woodyard and Gadson, 2018)

## **Epistemic injustice**

#### Black researchers are not trusted:

- This work is by Black people, about other Black people too, it's definitely biased
- I don't trust these people
- The second name sounds strange, I can't cite this, no one will cite a Black researcher any way

#### Black scholars' research themes on black social realities are ostracized:

- This study has no fit with our journal
- The framing, not the topic is an issue for this top journal
- This study is irrelevant and incomprehensible (to white individuals and communities)
- Multiple rounds of manuscript revisions that yield no publications

#### Black research samples are trivialized:

- Why did these Black people conduct interviews with black women in the UK or Africa only, it's not the entire world
- They should have compared their Black samples with White samples

Black educators are rejected by some students: contested authority, not trusted and harshly evaluated

## One might ask:

If curriculum is so white (Contu 2018) and 'the business school is racist' (Dar et al. 2020), does this mean business schools are (unintentionally) reproducing potentially racist future professionals?

Not only does epistemic injustice dehumanize black scholars and amplify black pain (see, Chowdhury 2019), but also, inflict harm upon broader society

# ...what black, brown and white scholars might do to cultivate more inclusive Management and Organization Studies

- Collective intellectual activism by cross-racial coalitions
  - Comradeship
  - Example: The UCD Proudly Made in Africa Fellowship in Business and Development

#### References

- Chowdhury R (2019) From Black Pain to Rhodes Must Fall: A Rejectionist Perspective. Journal of Business Ethics. DOI: 10.1007/s10551-019-04350-1.
- Contu, A 2018. Why is the curriculum so white in business schools? The Guardian. 06 September 2018. Online, Available <a href="https://www.theguardian.com/higher-education-network/2018/sep/06/why-is-the-curriculum-so-white-in-business-schools">https://www.theguardian.com/higher-education-network/2018/sep/06/why-is-the-curriculum-so-white-in-business-schools</a>
- Dar S, Liu H, Martinez Dy A, et al. (2020) The business school is racist: Act up! Organization 0(0): 1-12.
- Dean J (2019) Comrade: An Essay on Political Belonging. London: Verso Books.
- Fricker M (2010) Epistemic injustice: power and the ethics of knowing. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Nkomo SM (1992) The emperor has no clothes: Rewriting "race in organisations". Academy of Management Journal 17(3): 487-513.

## Thank you for your attention ©